Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Nuclear Physics A 00 (2014) 1–4
Nuclear Physics A

Charmonium in a hot medium: melting vs absorption

B.Z. Kopeliovich, I.K. Potashnikova, Ivan Schmidt, M. Siddikov

Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María; and
Centro Científico-Tecnológico de Valparaíso, Avda. España 1680, Valparaíso, Chile

arXiv:1407.8080v2 [nucl-th] 11 Aug 2014

Abstract

A charmonium produced in heavy ion collisions at RHIC and LHC propagates through a dense co-moving matter with a rather high relative momentum, $\langle p_T^2\rangle = 4 - 10\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$. In spite of Debye screening of the binding potential, the charmonium survives with a substantial probability, even if the $\bar{c}c$ potential is completely screened in the hot environment. In addition, the color-exchange interaction with the medium is another important source of charmonium suppression. Attenuation in a hot medium caused by both effects is evaluated by means of the path integral technique, which requires ability of boosting the binding potential to a moving reference frame. This problem is solved in the approximation of small intrinsic velocities of the charmed quarks.

Keywords: charmonium, melting, absorption

1. Introduction

We update the theoretical tools for description of propagation and attenuation of a colorless $\bar{c}c$ dipole in a hot matter created in heavy ion collisions. We employ the path integral technique, where the imaginary part of the potential in the Schrödinger equation is related to the effects of interaction with the medium (Sect. 2). The real part of the potential is responsible for the interaction between c and $\bar{c}$, described by a potential, which has been known so far only in the rest frame of the charmonium. A procedure of Lorentz boosting the binding potential, which is required to describe Debye screening for a moving charmonium, is developed (Sect. 3). We evaluate J/ψ suppression in heavy ion collisions at realistic values of the transport coefficient and found both effects to be comparable (Sect. 4).

2. Absorption

A colorless $\bar{c}c$ dipole can interact with a target exchanging gluons (quark exchange is suppressed by the OZI rule), turning the $\bar{c}c$ into a color octet state, which hadronises mainly producing an open charm states, rather than a charmonium. We label such a breakup process as absorption. The cross section is subject to color transparency, namely, it vanishes as r_T^2 for dipoles with a small transverse separation r_T [1]. A quantum-mechanical description of the dipole evolution can be performed with the path-integral method [2, 3]. One has to sum up all possible trajectories of quark and antiquark propagation in order to incorporate the effects of absorption and fluctuations of the dipole separation. In the light-cone variables the Green function of the dipole propagating in a medium satisfies the 2-dimensional Schrödinger-type equation [2, 3] (see detailed derivation in the Appendix of hep-ph/9808378)

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial l}G\left(l,\vec{r}_T;0,\vec{r}_0\right)=\left[2\,\frac{m_c^2-\Delta_{r_T}}{E_\psi}+U_{\bar{c}c}\left(r_T,l\right)\right]G\left(l,\vec{r}_T;0,\vec{r}_0\right), \tag{1}$$

Here l is the distance covered by the $\bar{c}c$ dipole in the rest frame of the medium. The dipole evolves its transverse separation from the initial value $\vec{r}_0$ at $l = 0$ up to $\vec{r}_T$ after propagating distance l. We remind that r_T is transverse relative to the charmonium trajectory, which itself is perpendicular to the nuclear collision axis.

The imaginary part of the potential is responsible for absorption,

$$\mathrm{Im}\, U_{\bar{c}c}(r_T, l) = -\frac{1}{4}\,\hat{q}(l)\, r_T^2. \tag{2}$$

The survival probability amplitude of a charmonium produced inside a hot medium is given by the convolution of the Green function with the initial and final distribution amplitudes,

$$S(l) = \frac{\int d^2r_1 d^2r_2 \Psi_f^\dagger(r_2) G(l, \vec{r_2}; 0, \vec{r_1}) \Psi_{in}(r_1)}{\int d^2r\, \Psi_f^\dagger(r)\, \Psi_{in}(r)} \tag{3}$$

The final one $\Psi_f(r, \alpha)$ is the charmonium wave function, which is dominated by equal sharing of the light-cone momentum by c and $\bar{c}$ (see section 3).

3. Debye screening

The real part of the effective potential is related to the binding potential, $\mathrm{Re}\, U(r_T) = V(r_T)$, which is subject to Debye screening within a hot medium. However, a Lorentz boost of this potential from the $\bar{c}c$ rest frame is a theoretical challenge, which we deal with below.

On the contrary to the usual expectation, a moving charmonium is not destructed by Debye color screening [5], even if the bound level disappears. Indeed, intuitively is clear that if the binding potential is weakened (for whatever reason), or even completely eliminated, for a short time interval $\Delta t \ll 1/\omega$ in the charmonium rest frame, the bound state will not be much affected, because the quarks have no time to move away from their orbits. In the medium rest frame, where the charmonium is propagating with momentum $p_\psi \equiv p_T$, there are two time scales [4, 2], the coherence time $t_c = E_\psi/(2m_c^2)$, taken for creation of a $\bar{c}c$ pair, and formation time $t_f = E_\psi/(2m_c\omega)$, needed to form the wave function of the final charmonium. Here $\omega = (m_{\psi'} - m_{J/\psi})/2$ is the oscillator frequency, characterising the time of circling of $\bar{c}c$ over the orbit in the bound state. Disappearance of the potential for a short while corresponds in this reference frame to a path length L in the medium much shorter than the formation length, i.e. $p_\psi \gg 2m_c\omega L \sim 5/v \times L(\,\mathrm{fm})$. In such a case the Debye screening effect is expected to vanish, i.e. the medium to become transparent for a high momentum charmonium. This can be demonstrated on an exaggerated example of a completely terminated binding potential inside the medium (maximal Debye screening), which restores back to the vacuum value outside: $\mathrm{Re}\, U(r) = 0$ along the in-medium path length $l < L$; and $\mathrm{Re}\, U(r) = \mathrm{Re}\, U_{vac}(r)$ outside the medium, $l > L$. To clear up this example we simplify the scenario avoiding other sources of attenuation and inessential technical complications. In particular, we use the oscillator form of the real part of the potential outside the medium and the Gaussian shape for initial distribution function in (3), so both initial and final wave functions have the form $\Psi(r_T) = (\gamma/\sqrt{\pi})\, \exp(-\gamma^2 r^2/2)$ with $\gamma^2 = m_c^2 + p_\psi^2/4$ and $\gamma^2 = m_c\,\omega/2$ respectively. Then the Eq. (1) can be solved analytically, and Eq. (3) for a $\bar{c}c$ propagating with velocity $v = p_\psi/E_\psi$ over the path length L takes the form [2],

$$S(L) = -\frac{i\,p_\psi}{8L}\,\frac{2m_c^2 + m_c\,\omega}{4\pi^2}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 dx_2\, \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x_1^2\, m_c^2 - \frac{1}{4}x_2^2\, m_c\omega - \frac{i\,p_\psi}{8L}(x_1 - x_2)^2\right\}\right|^2. \tag{4}$$

This suppression factor is plotted in Fig. 1 vs J/ψ momentum $p_\psi \equiv p_T$ for $L = 1,\ 3$, and 5 fm. Obviously, the J/ψ survival probability vanishes towards $p_\psi = 0$ because c and $\bar{c}$ fly away with no chance to meet again. Later, however we will see that in reality even at small momenta p_ψ the survival probability is fairly large due to fast cooling of the medium. In another limit of high p_ψ the medium becomes fully transparent because the initial tiny dipole size is "frozen" by Lorentz time dilation, and color transparency is at work.

To proceed further one needs a model for the binding potential, as well as the screening corrections, and we rely on the realistic Cornell form [7] with screening corrections calculated on the lattice and parametrized in [8],

$$V_{\bar{c}c}(r,\,T) = \frac{\sigma}{\mu(T)}\left(1 - e^{-\mu(T)r}\right) - \frac{\beta}{r}\,e^{-\mu(T)r}, \qquad (5)$$

where $\mu(T) = 24\pi^2 T\sqrt{N_c/3 + N_f/6}/[33\ln(19T/\Lambda_{\overline{MS}})]$; and $\beta \approx 0.471$. The bound states in such a potential are destructed at high temperatures, when the Debye radius $r_D \sim 1/\mu(T) \lesssim \langle r\rangle_\psi$.

Such a 3-dimensional potential is appropriate for a charmonium at rest in a hot medium, while data show that most of charmonia at the LHC have large transverse momenta, $\langle p_\psi^2\rangle = 7 - 10\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$ [6]. To perform a Lorentz boost we make use of smallness of the asymmetry in fractional light-cone momenta x of c-$\bar{c}$ quarks, which can be evaluated in the rest frame of the charmonium [9], $\langle\lambda^2\rangle \equiv \langle(x-1/2)\rangle = \langle p_L^2\rangle/(4m_c^2) = \langle v_L^2\rangle/4$, where p_L and v_L are the longitudinal momentum and velocity of the quark. The mean quark (3-dimensional) velocity [9, 10] is $\langle v^2\rangle \approx 0.2$, so $\langle\lambda^2\rangle = 0.017$ is quite small. We employ smallness of λ solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation for the charmonium wave function and arrive at a rather simple result [11]. Introducing a variable ζ Fourier conjugated to λ,



Figure 1. (Color online) The attenuation factor (4) for J/ψ produced with momentum p_ψ off a hot medium. The binding potential is assumed to be completely screened during in-medium propagation of the $\bar{c}c$ over the path L

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\bar{c}c}(\zeta,\vec{r}_T) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1 dx\,\Psi_{\bar{c}c}(x,\vec{r}_T)\,e^{2im_c\zeta(x-1/2)}, \qquad (6)$$

a boost-invariant Schrödinger equation for the Green function is derived, which replaces the light-cone form (1) [11],

$$\left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_+} + 2\,\frac{\Delta_{r_T} + (\partial/\partial\zeta)^2 - m_c^2}{p_\psi^+} - U_{\bar{c}c}(\zeta,\vec{r}_T)\right] G(z^+,\zeta,\vec{r}_T;z_1^+,\zeta_1,\vec{r}_{1T}) = 0. \qquad (7)$$

Here the real part of the light-cone potential $\mathrm{Re}\,U(\zeta,\vec{r}_T)$ is related to the rest frame binding potential $V(r)$ as, $\mathrm{Re}\,U(\zeta,\vec{r}_T) = V\left(r = \sqrt{\zeta^2 + r_T^2}\right)$. This solution interpolates between the rest frame, where it reproduces the ordinary Schrödinger equation, and the light-cone frame, where it reproduced the Lepage-Brodsky solution [12].

4. Numerical results

The transport coefficient $\hat{q}$ is related to the medium temperature via the equations of state. At large $T \gg T_c$, where T_c is the critical temperature, $\hat{q} \approx 3.6\,T^3$, but at lower temperatures $T \lesssim T_c$, this relation is more complicated and is parametrized in [13]. For the time and coordinate dependence of the transport coefficient $\hat{q}$ we rely on the popular model [13]. The maximal value q_0 is treated as a single free parameter to be adjusted to data for each set of nuclei and each collision energy. This is the main goal of such analyses: to probe the medium properties.

Now we are in a position to solve numerically the equation (7) and calculate the FSI suppression factor for J/ψ produced in nuclear A-B collision with impact parameter b.

$$S^2_{J/\psi}(b) = \int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\phi}{2\pi}\int\frac{d^2s\,T_A(\vec{s})T_B(\vec{b}-\vec{s})}{T_{AB}(b)}\left|\frac{\int d^2r_1 d^2r_2 d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2\,\Psi_f^\dagger(\zeta_2,\vec{r}_2)G(\infty,\zeta_2,\vec{r}_2;l_0,\zeta_1,\vec{r}_1)\Psi_{in}(\zeta_1,\vec{r}_1)}{\int d^2r d\zeta\,\Psi_f^\dagger(\zeta,\vec{r})\,\Psi_{in}(\zeta,\vec{r})}\right|^2, \qquad (8)$$

where ϕ is the azimuthal angle between the charmonium trajectory and the reaction plane.

An example shown in Fig. 4 (left) presents the results for central lead-lead collision at $q_0 = 2\,\mathrm{GeV}^2/\mathrm{fm}$, which was found in [14] from an analysis of high-p_T light hadrons. The dashed and dotted curves correspond to net melting



Figure 2. (Color online) left: $|S(L)|^2$ vs J/ψ momentum $p_\psi \equiv p_T$ for pure melting, absorption, and both effects included, from top to bottom respectively. *middle:* Ratio of the suppression factors for $\psi'(2S)$ and J/ψ including the effects of melting and absorption. *right:* Azimuthal asymmetry parameter v_2 for J/ψ production in lead-lead collisions with centralities 10%, 30% and 60%.

(Im $U = 0$) or net absorption (Re $U = 0$) effects respectively. The solid curve presents the full solution.

The same effects can be calculated for the radial excitation $\psi'(2S)$, which has a larger radius and should be easier dissolved and absorbed. Indeed, Fig. 2 (middle) confirms this. However at higher $p_T \gtrsim 10$ GeV a bump exceeding unity is observed. It is related to the complicated structure of the ψ' wave function, which has a node in r-dependence.

These results cannot be directly compared with data, because the initial cold matter stage [15, 16] is not considered here. Nevertheless, the asymmetry parameter $v_2 = \langle\cos(2\phi)\rangle$ is not affected by the initial stage, so it is worth calculating, averaging $\cos(2\phi)$ with Eq. (7). The results are depicted in Fig. 4 (right) at different centralities. The magnitude of the effect is close to what was observed for J/ψ at $p_T > 7$ GeV by the CMS experiment recently [17].

Notice that the initial $\bar{c}c$ dipole was assumed to be colorless, while with a higher probability a color octet $\bar{c}c$ may be created, which then bleaches its color interacting with the medium. This mechanism, enhancing the yield of charmonia at small p_T, will be considered elsewhere.

Summarising, we demonstrated (i) that Debye color screening of the binding $\bar{c}c$ potential may not destruct a charmonium moving through a hot medium, even if the temperature is extremely high; (ii) An additional strong suppression of a charmonium comes from its color-exchange interactions with the medium; (iii) Both effects are calculated with the path-integral technique, which requires knowledge of a Lorentz boosted Schrödinger equation. The corresponding procedure is derived, which interpolated between known limits of the rest and light-cone frames.

Acknowledgments: this work was supported in part by Fondecyt grants 1130543, 1130549, 1100287, and 1140377.

References

[1] B. Z. Kopeliovich, L. I. Lapidus and A. B. Zamolodchikov, JETP Lett. **33**, 595 (1981) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 612 (1981)].
[2] B. Z. Kopeliovich and B. G. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3466 (1991).
[3] B. Z. Kopeliovich, A. V. Tarasov and A. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 1609 (1999) [hep-ph/9808378].
[4] S. J. Brodsky and A. H. Mueller, Phys. Lett. B **206**, 685 (1988).
[5] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
[6] B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **718**, 295 (2012) [arXiv:1203.3641 [hep-ex]].
[7] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Konoshita, K. D. Lane, and T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 3090 (1978); **21**, 203 (1980).
[8] F. Karsch, M. T. Mehr and H. Satz, Z. Phys. C **37**, 617 (1988).
[9] B. Kopeliovich, A. Tarasov and J. Hüfner, Nucl. Phys. A **696**, 669 (2001) [hep-ph/0104256].
[10] J. Hüfner, Y. .P. Ivanov, B. Z. Kopeliovich and A. V. Tarasov, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 094022 (2000) [hep-ph/0007111].
[11] B. Z. Kopeliovich, E. M. Levin, I. Schmidt and M. Siddikov, paper in preparation.
[12] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2157 (1980).
[13] X.-F. Chen, C. Greiner , E. Wang , X.-N. Wang, Z. Xu, Phys.Rev. **C81** (2010) 064908 [arXiv:1002.1165 [nucl-th]].
[14] B. Z. Kopeliovich, J. Nemchik, I. K. Potashnikova and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 054904 (2012) [arXiv:1208.4951 [hep-ph]].
[15] B. Z. Kopeliovich, I. K. Potashnikova, H. J. Pirner and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 014912 (2011) [arXiv:1008.4272 [hep-ph]].
[16] B. Z. Kopeliovich, I. K. Potashnikova and I. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. A **864**, 203 (2011) [arXiv:1012.5648 [hep-ph]].
[17] Dong Ho Moon (for the CMS Collaboration), a talk at Quark Matter 2014, Darmstadt, May 19-24, 2014.